Neonatal abdominal wall defects
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1. Introduction

Gastroschisis and omphalocele are the two most common congenital abdominal wall defects. Both are frequently detected prenatally due to routine maternal serum screening and fetal ultrasound. Prenatal diagnosis may influence timing, mode and location of delivery. Prognosis for gastroschisis is primarily determined by the degree of bowel injury, whereas prognosis for omphalocele is related to the number and severity of associated anomalies. The surgical management of both conditions consists of closure of the abdominal wall defect, while minimizing the risk of injury to the abdominal viscera either through direct trauma or due to increased intra-abdominal pressure. Options include primary closure or a variety of staged approaches. Long-term outcome is favorable in most cases; however, significant associated anomalies (in the case of omphalocele) or intestinal dysfunction (in the case of gastroschisis) may result in morbidity and mortality.

Keywords:
Fetal diagnosis
Gastroschisis
Genetic counselling
Omphalocele
as omphalocele is accompanied by an 18–24% incidence of cardiac anomalies.1 Pulmonary hypoplasia is also commonly associated with giant omphalocele and may result in early respiratory distress requiring intubation and ventilatory support at the time of delivery.13 Associated syndromes such as cloacal exstrophy, Donnai–Barrow syndrome and pentalogy of Cantrell can also be suggested by fetal ultrasound. Chromosomal abnormalities, most commonly trisomies 13, 18 and 21, occur in up to 49% of fetuses diagnosed with omphalocele.14 The risk of a chromosomal abnormality appears to be more common in fetuses with a central omphalocele than those with epigastric omphaloceles.14 Of fetuses with normal karyotypes, nearly 80% have multiple other anomalies.14 Interestingly, multiple associated anomalies appear to be more common with minor omphalocele (≤4 cm) than giant omphalocele (55% vs 36%).15 Cardiac defects are the most common anomalies in this group, but such lethal entities as holoprosencephaly and anencephalus, as well as the complete spectrum of VACTERL defects, may be seen.16

2. Embryology

An omphalocele occurs when the intestines fail to return to the abdominal cavity after normal embryonic herniation into the umbilical cord during weeks 6–10 of development. This is typically attributed to a folding defect in the abdominal wall rather than to the genes involved in gut elongation and rotation.17,18 Varying amounts of bowel may be contained within the omphalocele sac. Other intra-abdominal viscera including liver, bladder, stomach, ovary, and testis can also be found within the sac. The sac consists of the covering layers of the umbilical cord, which include amnion, Wharton's jelly, and peritoneum. The umbilical cord is attached to the sac itself.

The etiology of gastroschisis is subject to some debate. It is commonly held that the pathogenesis involves an in-utero vascular accident and, along these lines, two theories have been advanced. One theory suggests that involution of the right umbilical vein causes necrosis in the abdominal wall leading to a right-sided defect; a second theory posits that the right omphalomesenteric (vitelline) artery prematurely involutes causing a weakening in the abdominal wall through which the intestinal contents subsequently rupture.19,20 These theories are supported by the observation that gastroschisis is associated with intestinal atresia, a condition that is also thought to be associated with an ischemic etiology.21 Additionally, retrospective data have suggested an increased risk of gastroschisis and intestinal atresia with maternal use of vasoconstrictive drugs (ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, or cocaine) as well as with smoking.22 More recent epidemiological and scientific data suggest that these explanations may be insufficient. Feldkamp et al. note that both umbilical veins degenerate, which does not explain the predominant right-sided occurrence of gastroschisis.23 Moreover, the body wall derives arterial supply

Table 1
Characteristics of omphalocele and gastroschisis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Omphalocele</th>
<th>Gastroschisis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sac</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated anomalies</td>
<td>Common</td>
<td>Uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of defect</td>
<td>Umbilicus</td>
<td>Right of umbilicus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Younger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of delivery</td>
<td>Cesarean/vaginal</td>
<td>Vaginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical management</td>
<td>Not urgent</td>
<td>Urgent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prognostic factors</td>
<td>Associated anomalies</td>
<td>Condition of bowel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from a rich arcading network of vessels arising from the dorsal aorta that is neither dependent upon nor intersects with the umbilical or vitelline vessels. A recent large scale epidemiological study measuring the associations between maternal vasoactive exposures, as part of the National Birth Defects Prevention Study, found that vasoactive risk factors play a minor role, if any, in the etiology of gastroschisis in young mothers, but may play a larger role in mothers aged >25 years.24 Nonvascular explanations for the origin of gastroschisis include failure of incorporation of the vitelline duct into the umbilical cord and abnormal development of the ventral abdominal wall resulting in the failure of midline fusion of the lateral folds.23,25 In-utero rupture of an omphalocele has also been proposed as a mechanism of gastroschisis formation.26

3. Gastrochisis

3.1. Perinatal care

The outcome for infants with gastrochisis is primarily determined by the amount of intestinal damage that occurs during fetal life. The etiology of this injury is likely a combination of exposure to amniotic fluid and constriction of the bowel at the abdominal wall defect and much of the damage seems to occur toward the end of pregnancy.27,28 Intestinal damage results in impaired motility and mucosal absorptive function which, in turn, lead to prolonged need for total parenteral nutrition and, in some cases, severe irreversible intestinal failure.29 Prenatal diagnosis provides a potential opportunity to modulate mode, location, and timing of delivery in order to minimize these complications.

The optimal mode of delivery for fetuses with gastrochisis is debated. Proponents of routine cesarean delivery argue that the process of vaginal birth may injure the exposed bowel. However, this philosophy is not supported by published data which have failed to demonstrate a difference in outcomes between cesarean section and vaginal delivery.30,31 Therefore, the delivery method of a neonate with gastrochisis should be at the discretion of the obstetrician and the mother.

Timing of delivery is also controversial. Some centers advocate early delivery of the fetus with gastrochisis in an attempt to reduce the inflammatory peel on the surface of the bowel. Evidence supports a role of amniotic fluid cytokines and proinflammatory mediators (including interleukin-6 and interleukin-8) in damaging the myenteric nerve plexus and interstitial cells of Cajal in gastrochisis.32-35 Because bowel edema and peel formation increase as pregnancy progresses, early delivery is thought by some to mitigate these effects. However, the literature is mixed in terms of the benefit of preterm delivery. Labor can be successfully induced in a high percentage of cases at 36–37 weeks of gestation in gastrochisis pregnancies, probably because of the inherent tendency toward preterm labor.36 The argument against early delivery is that low birth weight negatively influences outcome, with neonates weighing <2 kg having increased time to full enteral feeding, ventilated days, and duration of parenteral nutrition compared with those weighing >2 kg.36 Some authors advocate selective preterm delivery based on the appearance of bowel distention and thickening on prenatal ultrasonography. The presence of dilated fetal bowel has been shown to correlate with poor outcome, including fetal distress and demise in some – but not all – series.37,38 Many of these data are confounded by non-standardized paradigms for measuring bowel and lack of consensus upon the definition of ‘dilated’ at any given gestational age.

Most authors advocate delivery at a tertiary perinatal center so as to provide immediate access to neonatal and pediatric surgical expertise. A recent outcomes analysis found that delivery at a perinatal center with immediate access to level 3 neonatal ICU and pediatric surgeon was associated with an overall reduction in risk adjusted morbidity when compared to delivery at a community hospital.39

3.2. Neonatal resuscitation and management

Gastrochisis causes significant evaporative water losses from the exposed bowel. Following delivery, appropriate intravenous access should be obtained and fluid resuscitation initiated. Gastric decompression is important to prevent intestinal distension. The herniated bowel should be wrapped in warm saline-soaked gauze, placed in a central position on the abdominal wall with the baby positioned on the right side to prevent kinking of the mesentery, and wrapped with plastic wrap to reduce evaporative losses and temperature instability. A thorough examination of the neonate should be performed to exclude the coexistence of other anomalies with specific attention to the bowel for evidence of intestinal atresia, necrosis or perforation.

3.3. Surgical management

Surgical management of gastrochisis varies from center to center and has evolved over the past several decades, particularly with the introduction of the spring-loaded silo. The primary goal of every surgical repair is to return the viscera to the abdominal cavity while minimizing the risk of damage to the viscera due to direct trauma or increased intra-abdominal pressure. Options include: (i) primary reduction with operative closure of the fascia; (ii) silo placement, serial reductions, and delayed fascial closure; (iii) primary or delayed reduction without fascial closure. In addition, the timing and location of surgical intervention is controversial, ranging from immediate repair in the delivery room, to reduction and closure in the neonatal intensive care unit, to surgical closure in the operating room.40,41 In all cases, inspection of the bowel for obstructing bands, perforation, or atresia should be undertaken. Bands crossing the bowel loops should be divided before silo placement or primary abdominal closure to avoid subsequent bowel obstruction. Consideration should be given to the early establishment of central venous access, as intestinal hypomotility is invariably present.

3.3.1. Primary closure

Historically, urgent primary closure of gastrochisis was advocated in all cases. This approach was supported by multiple retrospective reviews of primary versus staged closure documenting improved outcomes in those patients undergoing primary closure. Upon closer analysis, however, these results were likely skewed by a significant selection bias because only unstable patients, or those with the greatest intestinal damage or largest defects, were likely to undergo staged repair. Nonetheless, many centers still practice primary closure in neonates considered to possess sufficient intra-abdominal domain to permit full reduction of the herniated viscera. Recent data from the Canadian Pediatric Surgeons Network (CAP- SNet) database suggests that infants who are able to undergo immediate primary reduction and closure have a shorter length of parenteral nutrition use and total length of stay when compared with those who require staged reduction and delayed repair.42

3.3.2. Staged closure

Originally, staged closure consisted of placing the bowel into a silo constructed of Silastic sheets sewn together and sutured to the abdominal wall. In recent years, the use of a hand-fashioned silo has been eclipsed by the introduction of a prefabricated silo with a circular spring that can be placed into the abdominal defect at the bedside, without the need for sutures or general anesthesia (Fig. 2). In either case, the bowel is reduced once or twice daily into the
abdominal cavity as the silo is shortened by sequential ligation. When the eviscerated contents are entirely reduced, the definitive closure can be performed. This process usually takes between one and 14 days, depending on the condition of the bowel and the infant. The preformed silo can also be used at the bedside to reduce the bowel, with abdominal closure being done immediately without sequential ligation of the sac.

Advocates of delayed closure argue that avoidance of high intra-abdominal pressure reduces the risk of ischemic injury to the viscera and may permit earlier extubation. In a prospective study using historical controls, our group demonstrated that the routine use of a spring-loaded silo was associated with a shorter time on the ventilator, lower postoperative airway pressures, shorter hospital stay, lower cost, and lower risk of complications, although other centers have had more variable success. The discrepancy of these results in otherwise similarly designed studies suggests that institutional practice protocols, more than the routine use of spring-loaded silo alone, may affect endpoint outcome. In all studies, routine silo management was found to be safe and effective, without an increase in morbidity or mortality over attempted primary closure techniques.

3.3.3. Closure techniques

Definitive closure in the operating room consists of raising skin flaps around the fascial defect to facilitate fascial closure followed by subsequent skin closure. Closure of the skin in a linear fashion creates a ‘keyhole’ appearance with a horizontal scar to the right of the umbilicus. Some surgeons advocate a purse-string suture of the skin around the umbilicus to create a circular scar with improved cosmesis. Recently, the ‘plastic closure’ method has been introduced in which the umbilical cord, if not too macerated or dry, is tailored to fill the gastroschisis defect and is then covered with an adhesive dressing. This technique allows for a centralized umbilicus without any linear scar component and is best utilized with small defects. If the umbilical cord is not salvageable, the bowel can be directly covered with a non-permeable dressing. This dressing is changed every five to seven days and the wound inspected. In-growth of granulation tissue and epithelialization occurs over time. With this technique, an operation can be avoided entirely in many infants. Residual ventral hernia rates are reported to be 60–84%, the majority of which close spontaneously.

Multiple methods of closure have been described for children in whom primary fascial closure cannot be achieved. The simplest involves using the umbilicus as an allograft. Artificial options include both non-absorbable mesh and biosynthetic absorbable patches such as dura or porcine small intestinal submucosa (Surgisis™, Cook Inc., Bloomington, IN, USA). Another option in selected cases is to reduce the bowel and place a piece of Silastic sheeting under the abdominal wall to prevent evisceration. This technique is useful when the surgeon is concerned about increased intra-abdominal pressure leading to a deterioration in pulmonary function with fascial and skin closure. The Silastic sheet is removed in 4–5 days, and the abdominal wall and skin are closed.

Intra-abdominal pressure, measured either as intravesical or intragastric pressure, can be used to guide the surgeon during reduction. Pressures >20 mmHg are correlated with decreased perfusion to the kidneys and bowel. Similarly, an increase in central venous pressure >4 mmHg has been correlated with the need for silo placement or patch closure during attempted primary repair. Following reduction, careful attention should be paid to physical examination, urine output, and lower limb perfusion with a low threshold to reopen a closed abdomen for signs of abdominal compartment syndrome.

3.3.4. Management of associated intestinal atresia or perforation

Up to 10% of neonates with gastroschisis will have an associated intestinal atresia, most commonly jejunal or ileal. These atresias can be treated at the time of abdominal wall closure with resection and primary anastomosis if bowel inflammation is minimal. If the condition of the bowel makes primary anastomosis inadvisable, the bowel can be reduced with the atresia intact and repair can be undertaken four to six weeks after the initial abdominal wall closure. Stoma creation is another alternative and is particularly helpful in the case of distal atresia. Intestinal atresia should be differentiated from ‘vanishing gastroschisis’. This condition is usually associated
with a very small abdominal wall defect that strangulates the extra-abdominal viscera and is characterized by necrosis and disappearance of some or all of the intestine (Fig. 3). Although this happens rarely, it usually results in short bowel syndrome.

Perforation may be managed through many of the same techniques as atresia. If bowel inflammation is minimal, the perforated segment can be resected and continuity established with a primary anastomosis. Other options include ostomy creation and primary fascial closure. If primary closure is impossible, exteriorization of the perforation through a hole in the silo is another option. Once the bowel has been reduced, a formal stoma can be created at the time of abdominal wall closure.

3.3.5. Postoperative course

Gastroschisis is associated with abnormal intestinal motility and nutrient absorption, both of which gradually improve over time in most patients. Introduction of enteral feeding is often delayed for weeks while awaiting return of bowel function. During the period of dysmotility, nasogastric decompression and parenteral nutrition is required. Secure central venous access through a percutaneously inserted central catheter (PICC) or tunneled cuffed central line is of utmost importance. Often there is initial feeding intolerance with the need for slow progression of feeds. It is also important to include early oral stimulation, because the sucking–swallowing reflex can be lost while awaiting bowel function and a significant oral aversion may develop.

Gastrointestinal dysmotility is often treated with prokinetic agents in these infants. However, there is little documentation in the literature to support their use. Commonly utilized prokinetics include erythromycin, metoclopramide, domperidone and cisapride. A randomized controlled trial of erythromycin versus placebo showed that enterally administered erythromycin did not improve time to achieve full enteral feedings over placebo. However, a similar randomized trial examining the use of cisapride in postoperative neonates, most of whom had gastroschisis, did show a beneficial effect. Cisapride is available only on a compassionate basis in North America due to its relatively high risk of cardiovascular side effects, and it therefore can only be used in infants with severe dysmotility who have not responded to other agents and who have undergone cardiac evaluation and clearance.

Necrotizing enterocolitis has been encountered in full-term infants with gastroschisis in higher than expected frequencies (up to 18.5%). While significant bowel loss from necrotizing enterocolitis can predispose patients to short bowel syndrome and its associated hepatic and septic complications, at least one group found that most gastroschisis patients with necrotizing enterocolitis exhibited a subsequently uncomplicated clinical course. Attempts to stratify patients with gastroschisis according to risk have found that intestinal damage or complex anomalies (those with atresia, volvulus, necrosis, or perforation) predict a more prolonged hospital course and increased morbidity and mortality. Infants with gastroschisis complicated by intestinal atresia, necrotizing enterocolitis, cardiac disease, or pulmonary hypoplasia/bronnchopulmonary dysplasia are at a 2–14-fold increased risk of death compared to those with an isolated defect. The ability to risk-stratify gastroschisis patients with respect to increased morbidity and mortality has utility in counseling families, predicting hospital utilization, and identifying a group of patients who would benefit from further strategies to improve outcomes.

4. Omphalocele

4.1. Perinatal care

The delivery of patients with omphalocele, like those with gastroschisis, should be dictated by obstetric considerations, because neither vaginal delivery nor cesarean section has been shown to be superior. Nonetheless, most practitioners choose to deliver neonates with large omphalocles by cesarean section because of the fear of liver injury or sac rupture during vaginal delivery. In addition, most authors advocate delivery at a tertiary perinatal center to allow immediate access to neonatal and pediatric surgical expertise. There is no advantage to preterm delivery for fetuses with omphalocele.

4.2. Neonatal resuscitation and management

Initial management in the delivery room for an infant with omphalocele involves careful attention to cardiopulmonary status, since these children may have unsuspected pulmonary hypoplasia that requires immediate intubation and ventilation. A thorough search for associated anomalies should then be undertaken. The high risk of associated cardiac defects mandates a directed cardiac evaluation, including auscultation, four-limb blood pressures, and peripheral pulse examination. Once stabilized, a more detailed evaluation can be provided by echocardiography. Likewise, an abdominal ultrasound should be obtained to evaluate the possibility of associated renal anomalies. Neonatal hypoglycemia should alert the practitioner to the possibility of Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome.

In preparing infants with omphalocele for transport, risks arising from associated anomalies should be specifically addressed. Adequate intravenous access should be obtained and fluid

![Fig. 3.](image-url) (A) Gastroschisis with associated intestinal atresia. (B) Gastroschisis with ‘vanishing bowel’ due to a very small abdominal wall defect, which is usually associated with short bowel syndrome.
resuscitation begun. Infants with omphalocele and an intact sac do not have fluid and temperature losses as significant as those with gastrochisis, but the losses are higher than those with an intact abdominal wall. The omphalocele itself can be dressed with saline-soaked gauze and an impervious dressing to minimize these losses. A nasogastric or orogastric tube should be inserted and placed to suction or gravity drainage. In cases of a ruptured omphalocele, the initial management of the viscera should be the same as described for the infant with gastrochisis.

4.3. Surgical management

4.3.1. Primary closure

Treatment options in infants with omphalocele depend on the size of the defect, gestational age, and the presence of associated anomalies. In infants with small defects, primary closure — consisting of excision or inversion of the sac, with closure of the fascia and skin — may be easily accomplished. This option is predicated on the ability of the patient to withstand an operation if associated cardiac disease is present. Care should be taken when attempting to excise the portion of the sac covering the liver as it is typically densely adherent and excision can tear Glisson’s capsule leading to hemorrhage. There is also significant anatomic distortion of the liver in patients with omphalocele and the hepatic veins may be located just under the epithelial/sac interface in the midline, making them susceptible to inadvertent injury. Thus, most pediatric surgeons advocate leaving part of the sac on the liver. Additionally, the inferior portion of the sac covering the bladder can be quite thin, and excision of the sac in this area can lead to inadvertent bladder injury. It is not unusual for an omphalomesenteric duct remnant to be associated with a small omphalocele, and this should be closed during primary repair. As with gastrochisis, intra-abdominal pressure can be measured during reduction in order to avoid abdominal compartment syndrome. In a review of omphalocele treatment at one institution, the authors reported a 12% incidence of complications of increased intra-abdominal pressure after closure, including acute hepatic congestion requiring reoperation, renal failure requiring dialysis and bowel infarction. A high index of suspicion and careful attention to ventilatory pressures, intra-abdominal pressure, urine output, and distal perfusion can minimize these types of complications. Specific to omphalocele, when reducing the contents, attention must be given to the position of the hepatic veins because kinking may result in acute obstruction.

In many cases, the defect is large and the loss of domain in the peritoneal cavity prevents primary closure due to an unacceptable increase in intra-abdominal pressure. Multiple methods have been proposed to achieve primary fascial closure of the abdominal wall in this setting. Flaps that mobilize the muscle, fascia, and skin of the abdominal wall toward the midline and allow midline fascial closure have been used successfully. Component separation at the level of the external oblique has also been described. A more recent approach is the use of tissue expanders inside the abdominal cavity to reduce abdomino visceral disproportion. Volume is added to the tissue expander over time until a primary fascial closure can be performed. Some surgeons prefer to place a patch in the abdominal wall and close the skin over the patch. Infection of nonabsorbable patch materials (Marlex, Gore-Tex, Prolene), leading to mesh removal, has prompted investigation into the use of bioabsorbable materials (small intestinal submucosa, dura, or acellular dermis).

4.3.2. Staged closure

In 1967, Schuster described the use of a silicone plastic ‘sil’ to provide staged reduction for children with omphalocele. The sac was excised, and the silo was sewn to the rectus fascia and over the top of the viscera. Some surgeons created a short circumferential skin flap so that the silo was sewn to the fascia only, and some attached the Silastic to the full thickness of the abdominal wall. Some surgeons have recently recommended the use of preformed spring-loaded silos in this setting, but this is usually unsuccessful owing to the relatively large size of the defect that prevents the silo from remaining in place.

Another option for moderate-sized omphaloceles with a relatively thick sac is to use sequential ligation of the sac itself for gradual reduction of the viscera. Serial reductions, similar to that for gastrochisis, are performed on a once- to twice-daily schedule until definitive closure can be obtained. At this time, the infant is returned to the operating theater for definitive closure of the defect. If the fascial edges cannot be approximated at this time, prosthetic closure can be utilized.

‘Escharotic therapy’, which results in gradual epithelialization of the omphalocele sac, is another form of staged closure that can be used for neonates who cannot tolerate operation due to prematurity, pulmonary hypoplasia, congenital heart disease, or other anomalies. Historically, mercurochrome was used as both a scarifier and a disinfectant; however, reports of deaths due to mercury poisoning led to abandonment of this treatment option. Povidone-iodine has also been used; systemic absorption of the iodine component during the initial therapy has been associated with transient hypothyroidism. Absorption is negligible after escharification, but infants treated with povidone-iodine as a scarifier should undergo monitoring of thyroid function. Silver sulfadiazine is the most common topical applicant currently in use. Once initial cicatrization has begun, silver sulfadiazine may be exchanged for an absorbant synthetic fiber such as Aquacel (Convatec, Québec, Canada) to keep the scarrid sac dry while epithelialization gradually occurs. Escharotic therapy usually takes many months for the sac to granulate and epithelialize. Once epithelialization has occurred and the infant is stable enough to undergo anesthesia and surgery, the remaining ventral hernia can be repaired by one of the previously mentioned methods, usually requiring use of prosthetic mesh with skin flap coverage, especially at the upper end of the defect. Tissue expanders have been used at this stage as well as in the neonatal period to create an abdominal cavity big enough to house the viscera.

4.4. Postoperative course

If primary closure has been accomplished, the majority of patients will require mechanical ventilation for a few days postoperatively. During this time, the abdominal wall and bowel wall edema will resolve and the intra-abdominal pressure will decrease. A nasogastric tube should be utilized for gastric decompression. Feeding can begin when the nasogastric output is no longer bilious, the volume is minimal and bowel activity has occurred.

The method of closure (primary, staged with delayed primary closure or prosthetic mesh) has not been shown to affect length of hospital stay. The time to resumption of enteral feeding, however, may be shorter with primary closure although this finding may be biased by omphalocele size and comorbidities.

5. Long-term outcomes

5.1. Gastrochisis

Long-term outcomes for patients born with gastrochisis are generally excellent. Although historically the presence of bowel atresia was felt to be the most important prognostic determinant for a poor outcome, many patients with atresia do very well as long as the bowel is not irreversibly damaged during fetal life. Patients
born with significant bowel injury are more likely to require prolonged parenteral nutrition with the associated risks of total parenteral nutrition-related cholestatic liver disease and central line-related sepsis. These complications lead to a 20-fold increased risk of death when compared with a patient without associated bowel injury. However, new strategies for the management of parenteral nutrition-associated liver disease and short bowel syndrome (ω-3-rich lipid formulas, serial transverse enteroplasty) may begin to mitigate some of the historical morbidity associated with short bowel.

Cryptorchidism is associated with gastroschisis with an incidence of 15–30%, although it is unclear whether this is secondary to in utero extra-abdominal entrapment of the testis or simply a byproduct of prematurity. Several retrospective analyses have shown that replacement of the herniated testis into the abdominal cavity will result in normal testicular descent into the scrotum in the majority of cases. For those in whom the testis does not descend by six months to one year of age, orchidopexy should be performed.

The majority of patients with gastroschisis will achieve normal growth and development after an initial catch-up period in early childhood. If the umbilicus is sacrificed during the period of the gastroschisis defect, up to 60% of children report psychosocial stress from the lack of an umbilicus. Umbilical reconstruction can be undertaken when the child is healthy, if it is desired by the child or parents.

### 5.2. Omphalocele

Most infants with a small omphalocele recover well and do not have any long term issues, provided that there are no significant structural or chromosomal abnormalities. This may represent as few as 10% of all omphalocles diagnosed on prenatal ultrasound. A recent study from The Netherlands found that only 14% of all omphalocles were isolated. A number of long term medical problems occur in patients with large omphalocles. These include gastroesophageal reflux, pulmonary insufficiency, recurrent lung infections or asthma, and feeding difficulty with failure to thrive, reported in up to 60% of infants with a giant omphalocele. Many of these children may initially require gastrostomy feeding, although some studies report that these difficulties seem to resolve by childhood, with height and weight measurements becoming similar to those of their peer group. The management of severe gastroesophageal reflux can be difficult, especially in the child who is being managed with escharotic therapy in whom a fundoplication may be technically challenging if not impossible. These children may be better served by the use of a naso- or gastro-jejunal tube. Up to a third of patients with omphalocele report intermittent abdominal pain persisting into young adulthood. The respiratory insufficiency associated with giant omphalocles may be secondary to abnormal thoracic development with a narrow thorax and small lung area leading to pulmonary hypoplasia. However, a study looking at the long term cardiopulmonary consequences of large abdominal wall defects documented normal lung volumes and oxygen consumption on long-term follow-up, although exercise tolerance was slightly reduced.

The most pervasive concern among patients with omphalocele in long-term follow-up is cosmetic, with nearly one-half of patients expressing dissatisfaction with the lack of an umbilicus and a large abdominal wall scar. Predictably, these complaints were expressed by those with giant omphalocles at birth to a greater extent than those with minor omphalocles. Nonetheless, this did not affect the overall quality of life in a recent study. This finding should be borne in mind when counseling parents with isolated omphalocles – that the long term burden of disease is quite minimal compared to that during early infancy.
Practice points

- Prenatal diagnosis of omphalocele and gastrochisis may influence timing, mode and location of delivery.
- Neonatal resuscitation should focus on temperature stability, fluid resuscitation, placement of a nasogastric tube, and careful pharyngeal examination looking for associated anomalies and the condition of the herniated visera.
- The goal of surgical management is to close the abdominal wall without injury to the visera either directly or due to increased intra-abdominal pressure. The choice between primary and staged closure should be based on this balance.
- Measurement of intra-abdominal pressure can be helpful in guiding the decision to use primary versus staged closure.
- The main cause of morbidity and mortality in infants with omphalocele is associated anomalies, and the main cause in infants with gastrochisis is the degree of bowel injury.

Research directions

- Prediction of intestinal damage in fetuses with gastrochisis.
- Determination of the role of cesarean section and/or preterm delivery in fetuses with gastrochisis.
- Prediction of pulmonary hypoplasia in fetuses with omphalocele.
- Selection of best closure technique for infants with omphalocele and gastrochisis based on neonatal factors.
- Development of pharmacological therapy for ameliorating hypomotility in children with gastrochisis.
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